## Japanese Consumers' Demand for Traceability Information - On Tokyo Bay Fish Passport





### 2022/6/29 Hajime Tanaka<sup>1,2</sup> Ron Takebuchi<sup>2</sup> Rintaro Kadoi<sup>2</sup> Yui Sakai<sup>2</sup>

Research Fellow, Ocean Policy Research Institute, Sasakawa Peace Foundation
 Students, Graduate School of Agriculture and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo

#### Background

#### Proper resource management of fisheries is necessary due to growing pressure on fishing control globally.



#### Global trends in the state of world's marine fish stocks FAO SOFIA (2020)

## One solution is to offer technology that trace information from catch to consumption

#### Background

#### **Information shared on Tokyo Bay Fish Passport**





## **Enable fish traceability**

Challenges

Challenges to spread the use of Tokyo Bay Fish Passport IX Knowledge Inc. Interview (Nov. 10, 2021)

## Challenge : How to set appropriate price?

## Findings

- Cost increases with technology installation.
- The benefits must outweigh the costs for the business (the technology will be widely used).
- Once the expected benefits are known, the cost of invested can be determined.
  - Begin by clarifying the benefits.

# **Research goals**

- Identify the <u>economic value</u> of traceability information to promote fisheries to manage resources appropriately.
- Case study: Identify <u>how much the</u> <u>average consumer is willing to pay for</u> <u>the information</u> contained in the Tokyo Bay Fish Passport.





#### Theme

Test for the consumer's willingness to pay for Tokyo Bay Fish Passport Verification







## What is conjoint analysis? : Methodology for product development



https://gmo-research.jp/research-column/conjoint-analysis

# Possible to identify how each product element influences the total evaluation



- Survey company : iBridge Corporation
- Tool : Freeasy (Self service survey)
- Sample : n=500
- Timing: 2021/12/21~27





# **Survey Respondents**





# Survey questions

Characteristic

Q1. In charge of shopping Q2. Knows how to cook fish

Q3. Purchased perch before

Willingness

Q4.1-10 Level of interest to shop: origin, price, with QR

Level of QR info

By order of importance Q5. QR Information (existing) Q6. QR information (new)

## Survey (Selections) Q4. Level of consumer's desire to buy

11



Score
 0 (Don't want to buy)
 10 (Strongly want to buy)

• Score shows the level of desire to buy



# Q5. QR Information (existing) Rank

- 1. Fishery information
- 2. Fisherman information
- 3. Middleman information
- 4. Store information
- 5. Product information

Find the most important information consumer wants to know through QR access



- IX Knowledge Inc. Survey result (chart)
- This research analyzes <u>general</u> <u>consumers'</u>trend.

# Q6. QR info (new) Rank

- 1. Fishery information
- 2. Fisherman information
- 3. Middleman information
- 4. Store information
- 5. Product information

In addition to these 5,explore what other information should be added in the future

Additional information 6. Best season to eat 7. Recipe 8. Sustainability 9. Resource management 10. "Like" from other consumers

# Survey Result Q1. In charge of shopping?





14



# **Survey result Q2.** Knows how to cook fish



# **Survey result Q3.** Purchased perch before



## **Survey result** Q4. Level of consumer's desire to buy

- (1) 250 yen Chiba with QR
- (2) 250 yen Hyogo no QR
- (3) 250 yen Aichi with QR
- (4) 300 yen Chiba with QR
- (5) 300 yen Hyogo no QR
- (6) 300 yen Aichi no QR
- (7) 300 yen Aichi with QR
- (8) 350 yen Chiba no QR
- (9) 350 yen Hyogo with QR
- (10) 350 yen Aichi with QR



# Survey result Q4. Conjoint analysis

18

	Coefficient	Standard deviation	T value	P value
_Cons	5.77	0.04	143.45	0.00
250 yen	0.73	0.05	14.07	0.00
300 yen	0.05	0.05	0.89	0.38
Chiba	0.44	0.05	0.85	0.40
Hyogo	0.04	0.06	0.59	0.56
With QR	0.24	0.05	5.09	0.00

- Price: For ¥250, willingness to purchase increased significantly.
- Location : Neither Chiba nor Hyogo prefecture is significant.
- QR : When present, willingness to purchase increased significantly.

# Survey result Q4. Conjoint analysis



- The partial utility value is a number calculated from the regression coefficient and indicates quantitative satisfaction.
- The utility of having QR
  ctors information is
  Price approximately <u>62~70%</u>
  <u>compared to the utility of</u>
  <u>a 50 yen change in price</u>.
  - →QR info cost approx.
    <u>31~35yen.</u>

# Survey result Q4. Conjoint analysis

#### Sea bass purchase experience

	Coefficient	t value				
_Cons	6.03	0.00	***			
250 yen	0.68	0.00	***			
300 yen	0.02	0.76				
Chiba	0.01	0.87				
Hyogo	0.03	0.73				
With QR	0.25	0.00	***			

No sea bass purchase experience

experience	·		
	Coefficient	t value	
_Cons	5.54	0.00	***
250 yen	0.79	0.00	***
300 yen	0.07	0.35	
Chiba	0.07	0.31	
Нуодо	0.04	0.63	
With			
QR	0.24	0.00	***

The willingness to purchase was originally higher among those who "have purchased before," and was <u>less influenced by</u> price and more influenced by having QR.

## Survey result Q4. Conjoint analysis(Partial utility value)



QR info values at <u>30 to 38 yen</u>

QR info values at 26 to 33.5 yen

21

# Survey result Q5.QR info (existing) ranking

22



# Survey result Q6. Information to be added

Q5. Please select information you would like to have from below 3

Fishery
 Middleman
 Middleman
 ofo
 Store info
 Store info
 Product
 info
 Fisherman
 30.6



Q6. Please select information you would like to have from below 3





(Q4) QR <u>increases</u> the general public's willingness to buy.

- ② The economic value is approximately <u>31-35 yen.</u>
- ③Those who have purchased before pay up to 38 yen.

(Q5)Information important to consumers

<u>Catch Info > Middleman info> Store Info > Product Info ></u> <u>Fisherman Info</u>

(Q6) Information that should be added

Best season to eat, Cooking method

# **Future research topics**

- Estimates from a "selection method" conjoint analysis. ⇒<u>Verify the robustness</u> of the estimation results.
- Similar studies on fish other than sea bass.
  ⇒ Verify the robustness of the estimation results.
- Analysis by basic attributes of respondents (gender, age, etc.). ⇒Specify the most appropriate target zone.

